A SCALED CENTRAL PATH FOR LINEAR PROGRAMMING^{*1)}

Ya xiang Yuan

 S and S a Institute of Computational Mathematics and Scienti-cEngineering Computing Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, China)

Abstract

Interior point methods are very efficient methods for solving large scale linear programming problems The central path plays a very important role in interior point methods In this paper we propose a new central path- which scales the variables the variables the value that α vantage of forcing the path to have roughly the same distance from each active constraint boundary near the solution

Key words Central path- Interior point methods- Linear programming

Interior point methods are one of the most intensively studied topics in optimization- Thou sands of publications have been appeared on interior point methods- A very good recent review is given by - Interior point methods have very good theoretical properties including the nice polynomial complexity property- And more important is that numerous applications have shown that interior point methods are very efficient for solving large sparse linear programming problems- Interior point methods have been proved to be indispensable to semidenite pro gramming, and there class of important optimization problems- interior points interior also have also been applied to nonlinear programming and nonlinear complementary problems- For examples as discussion discussions and please see the set of μ .

Path following algorithms are a class of very important interior point methods for linear programming- Consider the following standard linear programming problem

$$
\min c^T x \tag{1.1}
$$

sub ject to

$$
Ax = b, \qquad x \ge 0,\tag{1.2}
$$

where $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. The dual problem for the above linear program can be written as

$$
\max b^T y \tag{1.3}
$$

sub ject to

$$
A^T y + s = c, \qquad s \ge 0,\tag{1.4}
$$

where $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ are the dual variables and $s \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are the slack variables. If both the prime problem - - and the dual problem - - have feasible solutions then both problems have optimal solutions. And, in this case, for any solution x -of the primal problem and any solution y , s) of the dual problem, we have that

$$
c^T x^* = b^T y^*,\tag{1.5}
$$

Received November

this work is partially supported by Uninese NNSP grants 19731010 and the Knowledge Innovation Program of Chinese Academy of Sciences

 $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}$ that satisfies that satisfies the satisfies of \mathbf{v}

$$
c^{T}x - b^{T}y = (A^{T}y + s)^{T}x - (Ax)^{T}y = s^{T}x \ge 0.
$$
\n(1.6)

Thus, a solution is obtained as long as the complementarity gap s x is zero. Decause both x and s are nonnegative, the condition s $x = 0$ is equivalent to $x_i s_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, ..., n$. Let $\Lambda = aug[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$, relation $s^T x = 0$ can be expressed as $\Lambda s = 0$. Thus, we can write the optimal conditions in the following form

$$
Ax = b \tag{1.7}
$$

$$
A^T y + s = c \tag{1.8}
$$

$$
Xs = 0 \tag{1.9}
$$

$$
(x,s) \quad \geq \quad 0. \tag{1.10}
$$

Define the set

$$
\mathcal{F} = \{(x, y, s) \ Ax = b, A^T y + s = c, x \ge 0, s \ge 0\},\tag{1.11}
$$

which is the direct product of the product of the primal feasible set and the dual feasible setmethods generate iterate point in the interior of the region \mathcal{F} , that is

$$
int(\mathcal{F}) = \{(x, y, s) \ Ax = b, A^T y + s = c, x > 0, s > 0\}.
$$
 (1.12)

The central path is defined by the following system

$$
Ax = b \tag{1.13}
$$

$$
A^T y + s = c \tag{1.14}
$$

$$
Xs = \mu e \tag{1.15}
$$

$$
(x,s) \quad > \quad 0,\tag{1.16}
$$

where extending a vector whose elements are all \mathbb{P}^1 is easy to see that system are all \mathbb{P}^1 tem - - is a perturbation of the optimal condition - -- Let x - y - s be on the central path in the central path it can be shown that x solution of the penalized problem in the pen

$$
\min c^T x - \mu \sum_{i=1}^n \log(x_i) \tag{1.17}
$$

sub ject to

$$
Ax = b.\tag{1.18}
$$

Many interior point methods use the central path- Some algorithms explicitly use the central path as they force the interate points to follow the central path- Even for many algorithms that do not use the central path directly in the algorithm statements, the central path is used for convergence analyses see -

Because of the importance of the central path in the designs and analyses of interior point methods we study the center paths welcome the center of center pathwest of complete μ and μ and μ a new central paths the this path can also paths that the used to construct new interior points and methods.

A new central path is derived in the next section, and in Section 3 we proposed two ways to compute search directions based on the new central path and in Section 4 we give a brief discussion on how our ideas can be further extended.

A Scaled Central Path

The condition - of the central path requires that all elements of X s are the same when they approach zero- This can be viewed as that the complementarity conditions

$$
x_i s_i = 0, \qquad (i = 1, ..., n)
$$
\n(2.1)

are replaced or approximated by the relation

$$
x_i s_i = \mu, \qquad (i = 1, ..., n). \tag{2.2}
$$

This is reasonable because if some elements of $x_i s_i$ approach zero much faster than the other elements is much close to the boundary of feasible set of feas (1.5) than to the solution (x, y, s) . Roughly speaking, condition (2.2) prevents the point $\{x_i\}$, from being to close to any particular active boundary that has the form $\{y_i\}$, where $s_i = 0$.

However a second look on the central path condition - reveals that there are rooms to make an improvement. Suppose at the solution point (x, y, s) the strictly complementary conditions hold, namely

$$
\tau_i^* = x_i^* + s_i^* > 0, \qquad (i = 1, \dots, n). \tag{2.3}
$$

When both the primal problem and the dual problem are feasible, such solutions always exist (see, [5]). Assume that J^* is the subset of $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ such that

$$
x_i^* = 0, \quad i \in J^* \qquad \text{and} \qquad s_i^* = 0, \quad i \notin J^*.
$$
 (2.4)

I mus, when a point (x, y, s) on the central path is close to the solution (x, y, s) , the distance from the iterate point x- y- s to each active boundary near the solution is

$$
x_i = \frac{\mu}{s_i} \approx \frac{\mu}{s_i^*}, \quad (i \in J^*), \tag{2.5}
$$

and

$$
s_i = \frac{\mu}{x_i} \approx \frac{\mu}{x_i^*}, \quad (i \notin J^*). \tag{2.6}
$$

From - we can see that the distances from the central path to the active boundaries are roughly μ/τ_i ($i = 1,...,n$). These distances will be different because generally the numbers τ_i need not to be the same-this sense in this sense we can say the central path is not the central path is not exactly central as the distances to the active boundaries are not the same-

If we require that

$$
x_i = \mu, \quad i \in J^* \qquad \text{and} \qquad s_i = \mu, \quad i \notin J^*, \tag{2.7}
$$

when the point $\{x\}$ at x is close to the solutions, the distances from the distance from the solution aries are the same. But, normally the set J -is not known before the problem is solved. Strict complementarity conditions - indicate that near the solution relations - are equivalent to

$$
\min[x_i, s_i] = \mu, \quad (i = 1, ..., n). \tag{2.8}
$$

The path that satises - - - and - can be regarded as a strictly central path of the reasible region (1.12) hear the solution (x , y , s) in the sense that it has the same distance to all active boundaries-boundaries-function minimizes $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}$ function- Therefore we use the following approximation

$$
\frac{x_i s_i}{x_i + s_i} \approx \min[x_i, s_i].
$$
\n(2.9)

This formula is a very good approximation in the minimization is exactly the single \mathcal{N} case when (x, s) is close to a solution (x^*, s^*) at which (z, s) holds.

Thus, we can define a new central path by the following system

$$
Ax = b \tag{2.10}
$$

$$
A^T y + s = c \tag{2.11}
$$

$$
Xs = \mu(x+s) \tag{2.12}
$$

$$
(x, s) \quad > \quad 0. \tag{2.13}
$$

We call this central path the scaled central path.

Similar to the central path - - the scaled central path also has a log penalty function property-

 \mathbf{F}_{H} and \mathbf{F}_{H} are $\{w_i\}$ and $\{w_i\}$ and w_i are set the scaled central path $\{w_i, w_j\}$ and $\{w_i, w_j\}$ \sim in \sim minimum of \sim

$$
\min c^{T} x - \mu \sum_{i=1}^{n} [x_{i} + \mu \log(x_{i} - \mu)] \tag{2.14}
$$

subject to

$$
Ax = b.\tag{2.15}
$$

Proof Because both x and s are positive it follows from - that

$$
x_i(\mu) > \mu. \tag{2.16}
$$

Define

$$
h(x) = c^{T} x - \mu \sum_{i=1}^{n} [x_i + \mu \log(x_i - \mu)].
$$
\n(2.17)

From - we have that

$$
s(\mu) = \mu (X(\mu) - \mu I)^{-1} x(\mu), \tag{2.18}
$$

 \cdots - \cdots \cdots

$$
s(\mu) = c - \frac{d}{dx}h(x(\mu)).
$$
\n(2.19)

 \mathbf{I} and \mathbf{I} and

$$
\frac{d}{dx}h(x(\mu)) = A^T y. \tag{2.20}
$$

 \mathbb{R}^n is a KuhnTucker point of problem in the problem of problem in the problem i \mathcal{N} is a convex function function function for all \mathcal{N} is a convex function function function function function function function function function \mathcal{N} is a convex function function function function functi $x(\mu)$ is the unique minimum of problem $(2.14)-(2.15)$ on the region $\{x | x_i > \mu, i = 1, ..., n.\}$.

 \mathbf{u} is the sum of assumption of assumption of assumption of the sum of th original objective function $(c - \mu e)^T x$ and a shifted penalty function $\sum \log(x_i - \mu)$. However, it is not clear what are the advantages of using the penalty parameter to perturbate the ob jective function and to shift the log penalty functions.

It is interesting to study the theoretical properties of the scaled central path, and numerical behaviour of the interior point methods based on the scaled central pathdemonstrate that the scaled central path can be used to obtain search directions for solving linear programming problems.

Search Directions

Interior point methods following the centeral path generate points on or near the central path - - with being reduced every iteration- For example suppose at the kth $\{x_i\}$ is on the central path of the next iteration is still be the next iterate is still be the next in the next in on the central path with μ being replaced by $\gamma\mu$, where $\gamma\in(0,1)$ is a constant. Thus search directions can be obtained by applying Newton's method to the following system:

$$
Ax = b \tag{3.1}
$$

$$
A^T y + s = c \tag{3.2}
$$

$$
Xs = \gamma \mu. \tag{3.3}
$$

For more details, please see $[5]$.

— which can there approach we can show that the show the show paths (= t=) (= t=) can be used to to compute search directions- Assume the current iterate point x- y- s is in the set --Let the search direction be dx- dy - ds- Directly applying the Newtons method to the scaled \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{r} are the contract of the

$$
Ad_x = 0 \tag{3.4}
$$

$$
A^T d_v + d_s = 0 \tag{3.5}
$$

$$
(X - \gamma \mu I)d_s + (S - \gamma \mu I)d_x = \gamma \mu(x + s) - Xs,
$$
\n(3.6)

where S is the S interest of the S interes

$$
\mu = x^T s / (\|x\|_1 + \|s\|_1),\tag{3.7}
$$

and is a positive number in the canonical positive number in the canonical positive \mathcal{N} as a positive number of \mathcal{N}

$$
X(X+S)^{-1}s = \gamma \mu e. \tag{3.8}
$$

 \mathcal{L} . The property \mathcal{L} and a property \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L} are a second associated as \mathcal{L} , we obtain

$$
Ad_x = 0 \tag{3.9}
$$

$$
A^T d_y + d_s = 0 \tag{3.10}
$$

$$
S^{2}d_{x} + X^{2}d_{s} = \gamma \mu (X + S)(x + s) - XS(x + s). \qquad (3.11)
$$

Here

$$
\mu = x^T (X + S)^{-1} s / n \tag{3.12}
$$

and as above is a positive number in - -

4. Discussions

where α is the following performance problems of α is the following performance β is the following performance of α

$$
\min(c - \mu_1 e)^T x - \mu \sum_{i=1}^n \log(x_i - \mu_2)
$$
\n(4.1)

sub ject to

$$
Ax = b.\t\t(4.2)
$$

The scaled central path corresponds to the case when $\mu_1=\mu_2=\sqrt{\mu}.$ And the standard central path corresponds to the case when p_{i} is p_{i} is obvious that new central paths can be computed that \sim obtained if we choose dierent and --

Now let us have a closer look at the technique that motivates the scaled central path- The k ey is to approximate the strict central path conditions \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{N} is a problem of choosing a smooth function $\phi(x,s)$ defined in \Re^2_+ such that

$$
\phi(x, s) \approx \min(x, s), \qquad \forall x > 0, s > 0. \tag{4.3}
$$

If the above ralation is true function x- s dened by xs xs x- s is an approximation of $\max(x, s)$. Since $\max(x, s) = \min(x, s - y)$, if

$$
\psi(x,s) = [\phi(x^{-1}, s^{-1})]^{-1},\tag{4.4}
$$

 $x \sim 0.000$ and the self-dual approximation to minimation to move the self-dual constraints the self-dual constraints of ~ 0.000 mations for min x- s and max x- s are symmetric- - is equivalent to

$$
\phi(x^{-1}, s^{-1}) = \phi(x, s) / xs. \tag{4.5}
$$

The function

$$
\phi_1(x,s) = \frac{xs}{x+s} \tag{4.6}
$$

that we use to obtain the scaled central path satises condition -- We can easily see that $\phi_1(x,s)$ always approximates min(x, s) from below. If $x\approx s,$ $\phi(x,s)$ is not a good approximation of mini-parties when seed the case of the extreme cases, and the seed of the second question is the company of where is the best smooth approximation to the nonsmooth function minimum minimum μ , we can construct we give another approximation formula

$$
\phi_2(x,s) = \frac{xs}{x+s} + \frac{4x^2s^2}{(x+s)^3},\tag{4.7}
$$

 \cdots also satisfy the dual condition (i.e., \circ above property of the above for the above \cdots . it satisfies

$$
\min(x, s) \le \phi_2(x, s) \le \frac{(x + s)}{2}.\tag{4.8}
$$

 $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}$ is a set of minimation to minimation to minimation the set of \mathbf{v} \mathbf{I} is more complicated than \mathbf{I} is not obvious whether economic whether economic methods can be considered to the contract methods can be considered to the contract methods can be contracted to the contract met be constructed based on the central path dened by - - - and --

We have proposed a new central path for linear programming- This new central path has the property that the distances from all active boundaries are nearly the same when the path is close to the solution- We believe that this central path can be used to construct new ecient interior point methods and to analyze theoretical properties of many interior point methods-Our analyses also indicate that our ideas can be extended to define different central paths.

References

- F Alizadeh- J PA Haeberly- ML Overton- A new primal dual interior point method for semidenite programming- in JG Lewis- ed- Proceedings of the Fifth SIAM Conference on Applied Linear Algebra- SIAM- Philadelphia- -
- TF Coleman- at the scaling interior point method for nonconvex point method for nonconvex and method for nonminimization with linear inequality constraints- Math Program -- -
- JY Han- YB Zhao- Two interior point methods for nonlinear P-  complementarity problems-J Optim Theory Appl- -
- SJ Wright- Recent developments in interior point methods- in MJD Powell and S Scholteseds-boston-boston-boston-boston-boston-boston-boston-boston-boston-boston-boston-boston-boston-boston-boston-b
- Y Ye- Interior Point Algorithms Theory and Analysis- John Wiley and Sons- Chichester-